

*Mailed  
on 2-9-84  
OK*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Ole K. Nilssen  
For: ELECTRONIC BALLAST FOR  
FLUORESCENT LAMPS  
Serial No: 06/541,489  
Filed: October 13, 1983  
Art Unit: 266  
Examiner: V. DeLuca

Which is a Continuation of: Serial No: 06/342,107  
Filed: JANUARY 25, 1982

AMENDMENT A

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to office action dated 05/23/84, Applicant herewith provides the following comments and amendments.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

In re claim 28

Examiner has rejected claim 28 on the basis of 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Agnew.

Applicant traverses Examiner's rejection for the following reason.

In claim 28, inter alia, Applicant calls for a "load circuit comprising inductor means". Agnew does not show an inductor means in his circuit; although he does show a transformer means, which is often mistakenly thought to be an inductor means.